



Paper Type: Original Article

Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined Resolvable and Irresolvable Spaces

Arokia Pratheesha S V ¹, R Radha ^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, India; pratheesha555@gmail.com.

²Department of Science and Humanities, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore; radharmat2020@gmail.com.

Citation:

Received: 15 July 2025	Pratheesha S V., A., & Radha, R. (2025). Quadripartitioned single valued Neutrosophic refined resolvable and irresolvable spaces. <i>Uncertainty discourse and applications</i> , 2(4), 343-349.
Revised: 23 October 2025	
Accepted: 01 December 2025	


Abstract


In this paper, the concepts of Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined resolvable, Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined irresolvable, Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined open hereditarily irresolvable and maximally Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined irresolvable spaces are introduced. Also we examine several properties of the Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined open hereditarily irresolvable spaces besides giving characterization of these spaces by means of somewhat Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined continuous functions and somewhat Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined open functions.


Keywords: Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined resolvable, Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined open hereditarily irresolvable, somewhat Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined continuous functions and somewhat Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined open functions.

1 | Introduction

The fuzzy set was proposed by Zadeh [13] in 1965. The intuitionistic fuzzy set, an extension of fuzzy sets, was first introduced by K. Atanassov [1] in 1986. Smarandache [8] developed neutrosophic sets, an extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and fuzzy sets. Neutrosophic set theory deals with the uncertainty issue. Wang [11] suggested single-valued neutrosophic sets, which are an extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets, and the classical set. The four components of the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic sets developed by Chatterjee [7] are the membership functions for truth, contradiction, unknown, and falsity. E. Hewit [6]

 Corresponding Author: radharmat2020@gmail.com

 <https://doi.org/10.48313/uda.v2i4.93>

 Licensee System Analytics. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

proposed the ideas of resolvability and irresolvability in topological spaces. A. Gelikin [1] proposed the idea of open hereditarily irresolvable spaces in classical topology. G. Thangaraj and Balasubramanian [10] established the idea of fuzzy resolvable and fuzzy irresolvable spaces (2009). The concepts of resolvability and irresolvability in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces were introduced by Dhavaseelan et al [4]. M. Caldas et al.[3]proposed the idea of neutrosophic fuzzy resolvable spaces and irresolvable spaces.

In this paper, the concept of quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined resolvable, quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined irresolvable, quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open hereditarily irresolvable, somewhat quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined continuous and open fuctions and discussed some of its properties.

2 | Preliminary Concepts

[10, 13] Let E be a universe.A neutrosophic refined set (NRS) A on E can be defined as follows: $A = \{ \langle x, (T_A^1(x), T_A^2(x), \dots, T_A^P(x)), (I_A^1(x), I_A^2(x), \dots, I_A^P(x)), (F_A^1(x), F_A^2(x), \dots, F_A^P(x)) \rangle : x \in E \}$ where $T_A^i(x), I_A^i(x), \dots, F_A^i(x) : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that $0 \leq T_A^i + I_A^i + F_A^i \leq 3$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, P$) and for any $x \in E, (T_A^1(x), T_A^2(x), \dots, T_A^P(x)), (I_A^1(x), I_A^2(x), \dots, I_A^P(x))$

and $(F_A^1(x), F_A^2(x), \dots, F_A^P(x))$ are the truth membership sequence, indeterminacy membership sequence and falsity membership sequence of the element x respectively. P is also known as dimension of NRS A. [7] Let X be a nonempty set.A quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic set (QSVNS) A over X characterizes each element in X by a truth membership function $T_A(x)$, a contradiction membership function $D_A(x)$, an unknown membership function $Y_A(x)$ and a falsity membership function $F_A(x)$ such that for each $x \in X, T_A(x), D_A(x), Y_A(x), F_A(x) \in [0, 1]$ and $0 \leq T_A(x) + D_A(x) + Y_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 4$. [2] Let X be a universe.A Quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined set(QSVNRS)A on X can be defined as follows

$$A = \{ \langle x, (T_A^1(x), T_A^2(x), \dots, T_A^P(x)), (D_A^1(x), D_A^2(x), \dots, D_A^P(x)), (Y_A^1(x), Y_A^2(x), \dots, Y_A^P(x)), (F_A^1(x), F_A^2(x), \dots, F_A^P(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$$

where $T_A^i(x), D_A^i(x), \dots, Y_A^i(x), F_A^i(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $D_A^i(x), D_A^i(x), \dots, D_A^i(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $Y_A^i(x), Y_A^i(x), \dots, Y_A^i(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$

and $F_A^i(x), F_A^i(x), \dots, F_A^i(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $0 \leq T_A^i + D_A^i + Y_A^i + F_A^i \leq 4$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, P$) and for any $x \in E$.

$(T_A^1(x), T_A^2(x), \dots, T_A^P(x)), (D_A^1(x), D_A^2(x), \dots, D_A^P(x)), (Y_A^1(x), Y_A^2(x), \dots, Y_A^P(x))$ and $(F_A^1(x), F_A^2(x), \dots, F_A^P(x))$ are the truth membership sequence, a contradiction membership sequence, an unknown membership sequence and falsity membership sequence of the element x respectively. Also P is called the dimension of QSVNRS(A). [2] The complement of a quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined set A on X is denoted by A^c and is defined as

$$A^c = \{ \langle x, (F_A^1(x), F_A^2(x), \dots, F_A^P(x)), (Y_A^1(x), Y_A^2(x), \dots, Y_A^P(x)), (D_A^1(x), D_A^2(x), \dots, D_A^P(x)),$$

i.e., $T_A^i(x) = F_A^i(x)$, $D_A^i(x) = Y_A^i(x), Y_A^i(x) = D_A^i(x), F_A^i(x) = T_A^i(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, P$. [2] Let $A, B \in \text{QSVNRS}(X)$. Then,

1.The union of A and B is denoted by $A \cup B = C_1$ and is defined by

$$C_1 = \{ \langle x, (T_{C_1}^1(x), T_{C_1}^2(x), \dots, T_{C_1}^P(x)), (D_{C_1}^1(x), D_{C_1}^2(x), \dots, D_{C_1}^P(x)), (Y_{C_1}^1(x), Y_{C_1}^2(x), \dots, Y_{C_1}^P(x)),$$

where $T_{C_1}^i(x) = \max\{T_A^i(x), T_B^i(x)\}, D_{C_1}^i(x) = \max\{D_A^i(x), D_B^i(x)\}, Y_{C_1}^i(x) = \min\{Y_A^i(x), Y_B^i(x)\},$
 $F_{C_1}^i(x) = \min\{F_A^i(x), F_B^i(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, P$.

2.The intersection of A and B is denoted by $A \cap B = E$ and is defined by

$$E = \{ \langle x, (T_E^1(x), T_E^2(x), \dots, T_E^P(x)), (D_E^1(x), D_E^2(x), \dots, D_E^P(x)), (Y_E^1(x), Y_E^2(x), \dots, Y_E^P(x)),$$

where $T_E^i(x) = \min\{T_A^i(x), T_B^i(x)\}, D_E^i(x) = \min\{D_A^i(x), D_B^i(x)\}, Y_E^i(x) = \max\{Y_A^i(x), Y_B^i(x)\},$
 $F_E^i(x) = \max\{F_A^i(x), F_B^i(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, P$. [2] A quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology(QSVNRT) on a nonempty set X in a family of QSVNRS in X which satisfy the following conditions.

1. $\emptyset, X \in \tau$.
2. $H_1 \cap H_2 \in \tau$ for any $H_1, H_2 \in \tau$.
3. $\cup H_i \in \tau$ for every $\{ H_i : i \in I \} \subseteq \tau$.

Here the pair (X, τ) is called a quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space(QSVNRTS).All the elements of τ are called quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open set (QNROS) in X. A QSVNRS K is quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined closed set (QNRCS) if and only if its complement of K is QNROS. [2] Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS and $A = \{ \langle x, (T_A^i(x), D_A^i(x), Y_A^i(x), F_A^i(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, P$ be QSVNRS in X. Then quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined closure (QNR(cl(A))) and quadrupartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined interior (QNRint(A)) of A are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{QNRcl}(A) &= \tilde{\cap}\{K:K \text{ is a QNRCS in } X \text{ and } A \tilde{\subseteq} K\} \\ \text{QNRint}(A) &= \tilde{\cup}\{L:L \text{ is a QNRCS in } X \text{ and } L \tilde{\subseteq} A\} \end{aligned}$$

quadripartitioned Single valued Neutrosophic Refined Resolvable and Irresolvable Spaces

3 | Quadripartitioned Single valued Neutrosophic Refined Resolvable and Irresolvable Spaces

A Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined set (QSVNRS) U in Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space (QSVNRTS) (X, τ) is called a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined dense if there exists no quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined closed set V in (X, τ) such that $U \tilde{\subseteq} V \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{X}$. Let QSVNRTS (X, τ) is called quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined resolvable if there exists a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined dense set U in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRcl}(U^c) = \tilde{X}$. Otherwise (X, τ) is called quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined irresolvable. Let $X = \{e, f\}$. Define the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined sets U, V and W as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.1, 0.1, 0.6\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.5, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.1\}, \{0.3, 0.4, 0.1, 0.2\} \rangle \right\} \\ V &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.6, 0.2\}, \{0.1, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.4\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.5, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3\}, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.4\}, \{0.3, 0.4, 0.1, 0.2\} \rangle \right\} \\ W &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.6, 0.1, 0.3\}, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.1, 0.5\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.5, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3\} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}, U\}$ is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology on X . Then (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space. Now $\text{QNRint}(V) = \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRint}(W) = \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRcl}(V) = \tilde{X}$, $\text{QNRcl}(W) = \tilde{X}$. Hence there exists a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined dense set V and W in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRcl}(V^c) = \tilde{X}$ and $\text{QNRcl}(W^c) = \tilde{X}$. Therefore the QSVNR topological space (X, τ) is QSVNR resolvable space. Let $X = \{e, f\}$. Define the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined sets U, V and W as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.2\}, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6\}, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.7\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.2, 0.1, 0.5, 0.6\}, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.6, 0.4\}, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.5, 0.6\} \rangle \right\} \\ V &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.1\}, \{0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{0.7, 0.5, 0.1, 0.6\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.3, 0.4, 0.4, 0.3\}, \{0.4, 0.6, 0.1, 0.3\}, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2\} \rangle \right\} \\ W &= \left\{ \langle e, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.4, 0.6, 0.1, 0.3\}, \{0.5, 0.4, 0.1, 0.4\} \rangle, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle f, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.6, 0.7, 0.3, 0.4\}, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.3\} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}, U\}$ is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology on X . Then (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space. Now $\text{QNRint}(V) = U$, $\text{QNRint}(W) = U$, $\text{QNRcl}(V) = \tilde{X}$, $\text{QNRcl}(W) = \tilde{X}$. Thus V and W are quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined dense set in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRcl}(V^c) = U^c$ and $\text{QNRcl}(W^c) = U^c$. Hence the QSVNR topological space (X, τ) is called a QSVNR irresolvable. Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS. (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined resolvable space iff (X, τ) has a pair of quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined dense set U_1 and U_2 such that $U_1 \tilde{\subseteq} U_2^c$.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS and (X, τ) is a QSVNR resolvable space. Suppose that for all QSVNR dense sets U_i and U_j , we have $U_i \not\tilde{\subseteq} U_j^c$. Then $U_i \tilde{\supseteq} U_j^c$. Then $\text{QNRcl}(U_i) \tilde{\supseteq} \text{QNRcl}(U_j^c)$ which implies that $\tilde{X} \tilde{\supseteq} \text{QNRcl}(U_j^c)$. Then $\text{QNRcl}(U_j^c) \neq \tilde{X}$. Also $U_j \tilde{\supseteq} U_i^c$, then $\text{QNRcl}(U_j) \tilde{\supseteq} \text{QNRcl}(U_i^c)$ which implies that $\tilde{X} \tilde{\supseteq} \text{QNRcl}(U_i^c)$. Then $\text{QNRcl}(U_i^c) \neq \tilde{X}$. Hence $\text{QNRcl}(U_i^c) = \tilde{X}$, but $\text{QNRcl}(U_i) \neq \tilde{X}$ for all QSVNRS U_i in (X, τ) which is a contradiction. Hence (X, τ) has a pair of QSVNR dense set U_1 and U_2 such that $U_1 \tilde{\subseteq} U_2^c$.

Conversely, suppose that the QSVNRTS (X, τ) has a pair of QSVNR dense set U_1 and U_2 such that $U_1 \tilde{\subseteq} U_2^c$. Suppose that (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable space. Then for all QSVNR dense sets U_1 and U_2 in

(X, τ) , we have $\text{QNRcl}(U_1^{\tilde{c}}) \neq \tilde{X}$. Then $\text{QNRcl}(U_2^{\tilde{c}}) \neq \tilde{X}$ implies that there exists a QNRCS K in (X, τ) such that $U_2^{\tilde{c}} \tilde{c} K \tilde{c} \tilde{X}$. Then $U_1 \tilde{c} U_2^{\tilde{c}} \tilde{c} K \tilde{c} \tilde{X}$ implies that $U_1 \tilde{c} K \tilde{c} \tilde{X}$. which is a contradiction. Hence (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined resolvable space. \square

If (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined irresolvable if and only if $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ for all QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) .

Proof: Since (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable space for all QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) , $\text{QNRcl}(U^{\tilde{c}}) \neq \tilde{X}$. Then $(\text{QNRint}(U))^{\tilde{c}} \neq \tilde{X}$ which implies $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$.

Conversely $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ for all QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) . Suppose that (X, τ) is QSVNR resolvable space. Then there exists a QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRcl}(U^{\tilde{c}}) = \tilde{X}$. This implies that $(\text{QNRint}(U))^{\tilde{c}} = \tilde{X}$, implies $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. Which is a contradiction. Hence (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable space. \square

A quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space (X, τ) is called a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined submaximal space if for each QSVNRS U in (X, τ) , $\text{QNRcl}(U) = \tilde{X}$. If the QSVNRTS (X, τ) is QSVNR submaximal, then (X, τ) is QSVNR irresolvable.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a QSVNR submaximal space. Assume that (X, τ) is a QSVNR resolvable space. Let U be a QSVNR dense set in (X, τ) . Then $\text{QNRcl}(U^{\tilde{c}}) = \tilde{X}$. Hence $(\text{QNRint}(U))^{\tilde{c}} = \tilde{X}$, implies $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. Then $U \notin \tau$. Which is a contradiction to QSVNR submaximal space of (X, τ) . Hence (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable space. The converse of the Proposition 3.8. is not true. See Example 3.4. \square

A quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space (X, τ) is called a maximal quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined irresolvable space if (X, τ) is QSVNR irresolvable and every QSVNR dense set U of (X, τ) is QSVNR open. Let $X = \{e, f\}$. Define the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined sets $V, W, V \cup W, V \cap W$ as follows

$$V = \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.4\}, \{0.5, 0.1, 0.3, 0.2\}, \{0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6\} \rangle \right\}$$

$$W = \left\{ \langle e, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5\}, \{0.4, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{0.2, 0.1, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4\}, \{0.2, 0.1, 0.6, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6\} \rangle \right\}$$

$$V \cup W = \left\{ \langle e, \{0.3, 0.3, 0.2, 0.4\}, \{0.5, 0.2, 0.3, 0.2\}, \{0.2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.2, 0.5, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\} \rangle \right\}$$

$$V \cap W = \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.1, 0.5, 0.5\}, \{0.4, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.1, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.1, 0.6, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6\} \rangle \right\}$$

It is clear that $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}, V, W, V \cup W, V \cap W\}$ is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology on X . Then (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space. Now $\text{QNRint}(V^{\tilde{c}}) = \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRint}(W^{\tilde{c}}) = W$, $\text{QNRint}(V \cup W)^{\tilde{c}} = \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRint}(V \cap W)^{\tilde{c}} = W$ and $\text{QNRcl}(V) = \tilde{X}$, $\text{QNRcl}(W) = W^{\tilde{c}}$, $\text{QNRcl}(V \cup W) = \tilde{X}$, $\text{QNRcl}(V \cap W) = W^{\tilde{c}}$, $\text{QNRcl}(V \cup W)^{\tilde{c}} = (V \cup W)^{\tilde{c}}$, $\text{QNRcl}(V^{\tilde{c}}) = V^{\tilde{c}}$. Hence (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable and every QSVNR dense set of (X, τ) is quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open. Therefore (X, τ) is a maximally QSVNR irresolvable space. quadripartitioned Single valued Neutrosophic Refined Open Hereditarily Irresolvable

4 | Quadripartitioned Single valued Neutrosophic Refined Open Hereditarily Irresolvable

A quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space (X, τ) is said to be QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable if $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$, for any QSVNRS U in (X, τ) . Let $X = \{e, f\}$. Define the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined sets U_1, U_2 and U_3 as follows

$$U_1 = \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5\}, \{0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.3\} \rangle, \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, \{0.2, 0.1, 0.4, 0.3\}, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5\} \rangle \\ U_2 = & \left\{ \langle e, \{0.3, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2\} \rangle, \right. \\ & \left. \langle f, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.6, 0.5, 0.1, 0.3\}, \{0.3, 0.5, 0.2, 0.4\} \rangle \right\} \\ U_3 = & \left\{ \langle e, \{0.1, 0.4, 0.3, 0.1\}, \{0.4, 0.1, 0.1, 0.5\}, \{0.2, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3\} \rangle, \right. \\ & \left. \langle f, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.2\}, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}, U_1, U_2\}$ is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology on X . Then (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space. Now $\text{QNRcl}(U_1) = (U_1)^{\tilde{c}}$; $\text{QNRcl}(U_2) = \tilde{X}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U_2) = U_1$. Also $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U_1)) = \text{QNRint}((U_1)^{\tilde{c}}) = (U_1)^{\tilde{c}} \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U_1) = U_1 \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U_2)) = \text{QNRint}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X} \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U_2) = U_2 \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U_3)) = \text{QNRint}((U_1)^{\tilde{c}}) = (U_1)^{\tilde{c}} \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U_3) = U_1 \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}((U_3)^{\tilde{c}})) = \text{QNRint}(U_1)^{\tilde{c}} = (U_1)^{\tilde{c}} \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(U_1)^{\tilde{c}} = U_1 \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Hence if $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$, then $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ for any nonzero QSVNRS U in (X, τ) . Thus (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open hereditarily irresolvable space. Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS. If (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable then (X, τ) is QSVNR irresolvable.

Proof: Let U be a QSVNR dense set in (X, τ) . Then $\text{QNRcl}(U) = \tilde{X}$, which implies that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{X} \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Since (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable, we have $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Therefore by Proposition 3.6. $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ for all QSVNR dense set in (X, τ) , implies that (X, τ) is QSVNR irresolvable. The converse of the above proposition need not be true by the following example. \square

Let $X = \{e, f\}$. Define the quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined sets U, V and W as follows

$$\begin{aligned} U = & \left\{ \langle e, \{0.3, 0.2, 0.5, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{9.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle, \right. \\ & \left. \langle f, \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5\}, \{0.3, 0.1, 0.5, 0.6\}, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.4\} \rangle \right\} \\ V = & \left\{ \langle e, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.4, 0.3\}, \{0.5, 0.2, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.3, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1\} \rangle, \right. \\ & \left. \langle f, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5\}, \{0.3, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2\} \rangle \right\} \\ W = & \left\{ \langle e, \{0.4, 0.6, 0.1, 0.1\}, \{0.6, 0.4, 0.1, 0.4\}, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.1\} \rangle, \right. \\ & \left. \langle f, \{0.6, 0.4, 0.1, 0.1\}, \{0.7, 0.6, 0.1, 0.2\}, \{0.4, 0.6, 0.1, 0.3\} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}, U, V\}$ is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topology on X . Then (X, τ) is a quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological space. Now W and \tilde{X} are QSVNR dense sets in (X, τ) . Then $\text{QNRint}(W) = U \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(\tilde{X}) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Hence (X, τ) is a QSVNR irresolvable. But $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(W^{\tilde{c}})) = \text{QNRint}(U^{\tilde{c}}) = U \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(W^{\tilde{c}}) = \tilde{\phi}$. Therefore (X, τ) is not a QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable space. Let (X, τ) be a QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable. Then $\text{QNRint}(U) \not\subseteq (\text{QNRint}(V))^{\tilde{c}}$ for any two QSVNR dense sets U and V in (X, τ) .

Proof: Let U and V be any QSVNR dense sets in (X, τ) . Then $\text{QNRcl}(U) = \tilde{X}$ and $\text{QNRcl}(V) = \tilde{X}$ implies that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(V)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Since (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable, $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\text{QNRint}(V) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Hence by proposition 3.5, $U \not\subseteq V^{\tilde{c}}$. Therefore $\text{QNRint}(U) \not\subseteq U \not\subseteq V^{\tilde{c}} \subseteq (\text{QNRint}(V))^{\tilde{c}}$. Hence we have $\text{QNRint}(U) \not\subseteq (\text{QNRint}(V))^{\tilde{c}}$ for any two QSVNR dense sets U and V in (X, τ) . \square

Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS. If (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable, then $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$ for any nonzero QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) implies that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$.

Proof: Let U be a QSVNR dense set in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. We claim that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$. Suppose that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Since (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable, we have $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Which is a contradiction to $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. Hence $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$. \square

Let (X, τ) be a QSVNRTS. If (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable, then $\text{QNRcl}(U) = \tilde{X}$ for any nonzero QSVNR dense set U in (X, τ) implies that $\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRint}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$.

Proof: Let U be a QSVNRS in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRcl}(U) = \tilde{X}$. Then we have $(\text{QNRcl}(U))^c = \tilde{\phi}$, which implies that $\text{QNRint}(U^c) = \tilde{\phi}$. Since (X, τ) is QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable by proposition 4.6. We have that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U^c)) = \tilde{\phi}$. Therefore $(\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRint}(U)))^c = \tilde{\phi}$ implies $\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRint}(U)) = \tilde{X}$. \square

5 | Somewhat QSVNR Continuous and Somewhat QSVNR open

Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. A function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is called somewhat QSVNR continuous if $U \in \delta$ and $g^{-1}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$, then there exists a $V \in \tau$ such that $V \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $V \subseteq g^{-1}(U)$. Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. A function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is called somewhat QSVNR open if $U \in \delta$ and $U \neq \tilde{\phi}$, then there exists a $V \in \delta$ such that $V \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $V \subseteq g(U)$. Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. If the function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is called somewhat QSVNR continuous and one-to-one. If $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$ for any nonzero QSVNRS U in (X, τ) then $\text{QNRint}(g(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) .

Proof: Let U be a nonzero QSVNRS in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. To prove that $\text{QNRint}(g(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$. Suppose that $\text{QNRint}(g(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) . Then there exists a nonzero QSVNRS V in (Y, δ) such that $V \subseteq \text{QNRint}(g(U))$. Then $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(g(U))$. Since g is somewhat continuous, there exists a $W \in \tau$ such that $W \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $S \subseteq g^{-1}(V)$. Hence $S \subseteq g^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$, implies that $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{QNRint}(g(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) . \square

Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. If the function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is called somewhat QSVNR continuous, one-to-one, $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ for any nonzero QSVNRS U in (X, τ) then $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) .

Proof: Let U be a nonzero QSVNRS in (X, τ) such that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$. We claim that $\text{QNRint}(g(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$. Suppose that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) . Then $\text{QNRcl}(g(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $(\text{QNRcl}(g(U)))^c \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Now $(\text{QNRcl}(g(U)))^c \neq \tilde{\phi} \in \delta$. Since g is somewhat QSVNR continuous, there exists a $V \in \tau$, such that $V \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $V \subseteq g^{-1}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))^c)$. That is $V \subseteq (g^{-1}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))))^c$, which implies that $g^{-1}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))) \subseteq V^c$. Since g is one-to-one, thus $U \subseteq g^{-1}(g(U)) \subseteq g^{-1}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))) \subseteq V^c$ which implies $U \subseteq V^c$. Therefore $V \subseteq U^c$. This implies that $\text{QNRint}(U^c) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Let $\text{QNRint}(U^c) = W \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Then we have $\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRint}(U^c)) = \text{QNRcl}(W) \neq \tilde{X}$ which implies that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g(U))) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (Y, δ) . \square

Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. If the function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is called somewhat QSVNR open and $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$ for any nonzero QSVNRS U in (Y, δ) , then $\text{QNRint}(g^{-1}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) .

Proof: Let U be a nonzero QSVNR in (Y, δ) such that $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. We claim that $\text{QNRint}(g^{-1}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) . Suppose that $\text{QNRint}(g^{-1}(U)) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) . Then there exists a nonzero QSVNR open set V in (X, τ) such that $V \subseteq g^{-1}(U)$. Thus, we have $g(V) \subseteq g(g^{-1}(U)) \subseteq U$. This implies that $g(V) \subseteq U$. Since g is somewhat QSVNR open, there exists a $W \in \delta$ such that $W \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $W \subseteq g(V)$. Therefore $W \subseteq g(V) \subseteq U$ which implies $W \subseteq U$. Hence $\text{QNRint}(U) \neq \tilde{\phi}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{QNRint}(g^{-1}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) . \square

Let (X, τ) and (Y, δ) be any two QSVNRTS. Let (X, τ) be a QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable space. If the function $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is somewhat QSVNR open, somewhat QSVNR continuous, one-to-one and onto function, then (Y, δ) is a QSVNR open hereditarily space.

Proof: Let U be a nonzero QSVNRS in (Y, δ) such that $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. Now $\text{QNRint}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$ and g is somewhat QSVNR open implies that by proposition 5.5, $\text{QNRint}(g^{-1}(U)) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) . Since (X, τ) is a QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable space, we have $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g^{-1}(U))) = \tilde{\phi}$ in (X, τ) by proposition 4.6. Since $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g^{-1}(U))) = \tilde{\phi}$ and g is somewhat QSVNR continuous by proposition 5.4,

we have that $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(g^{-1}(U))) = \tilde{\phi}$. Since g is onto, thus $\text{QNRintQNRcl}(U) = \tilde{\phi}$. Hence by proposition 4.6, (Y, δ) is a QSVNR open hereditarily irresolvable space. \square

6 | Conclusion

In this paper we defined Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined resolvable and irresolvable spaces and studied some of its properties. Further we defined continuous and open functions in Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined topological spaces and also quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open hereditarily space.

Conflict of Interest

"The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- [1] Atanasov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 20(1), 87–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3)
- [2] Pratheesha, A. (2022). Quadripartitioned single valued Neutrosophic refined sets and its topological spaces. *International journal of creative research thoughts (IJCRT)*, 10(3), 937-948. <https://doi.org/10.58532/nbennurch278>
- [3] SV, A. P., & Radha, R. (2025). Quadripartitioned single valued Neutrosophic refined resolvable and irresolvable spaces. *Uncertainty discourse and applications*, 2(4), 342-348. <https://doi.org/10.48313/uda.v2i4.93>
- [4] Dhavaseelan, R., Roja, E., & Uma, M. K. (2011). Intuitionistic fuzzy resolvable and intuitionistic fuzzy irresolvable spaces. *Scientia magna*, 7(2), 59-67. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262567998>
- [5] El'kin, A. G. (1969). Ultrafilters and irresolvable spaces. *Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta. Seriya I: Matematika i Mekhanika*, 24, 51-56. <https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/dan35031>
- [6] Hewitt, E. (1943). A problem of set-theoretic topology. *Duke mathematical journal*, 10(2), 309–333. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-43-01029-4>
- [7] Mohanasundari, M., & Mohana, K. (2021). Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic mappings with its relations and quadripartitioned Neutrosophic topology. *International journal of mathematics and its applications*, 9(1), 83-93. <https://ijmaa.in/index.php/ijmaa/article/view/105/105>
- [8] Chatterjee, R., Majumdar, P., & Samanta, S. K. (2016). On some similarity measures and entropy on quadripartitioned single valued Neutrosophic sets. *Journal of intelligent & fuzzy systems*, 30(4), 2475-2485. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IFS-152017>
- [9] Smarandache, F. (1998). *Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set, and logic: Analytic synthesis & synthetic analysis*. American Research Press. <https://philpapers.org/rec/SMANNP>
- [10] Smarandache, F. (2013). n-Valued refined Neutrosophic logic and its applications to physics. *Infinite study*, 4, 143-146. <https://fs.unm.edu/Neutro-nValuedRefined.pdf>
- [11] Thangaraj, G., & Balasubramanian, G. (2009). On fuzzy resolvable and fuzzy irresolvable spaces. *Fuzzy sets, rough sets and multivalued operations and appl*, 1(2), 173-180. <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anjalmoose-S-Dr/publication/324721115>
- [12] Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang, YQ., Sunderraman, R. (2010). Single valued Neutrosophic sets. *Multispace & multistructure*, 4, 410-413. <https://fs.unm.edu/SingleValuedNeutrosophicSets.pdf>
- [13] Ye, S., & Ye, J. (2014). Dice similarity measure between single valued Neutrosophic multisets and its application in medical diagnosis. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 6(1), 9. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol6/iss1/9
- [14] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)