Uncertainty Discourse and Applications



www.uda.reapress.com

Uncert. Disc. Appl. Vol. 1, No. 2 (2024) 210-218.

Paper Type: Original Article

Cotangent Similarity Measure of n-Valued Interval

Neutrosophic Sets for Medical Diagnosis

Radha Narmadhagnanam^{1,*}, A. Edward Samuel¹

¹P.G. & Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, India; narmadhagnanam03@gmail.com.

Citation:

Received: 23 April 2024	Narmadhagnanam, R., & Samuel, A. E. (2024). Cotangent similarity		
Revised: 12 June 2024	measure of n-valued interval neutrosophic sets for medical diagnosis.		
Accepted: 14 August 2024	<i>Uncertainty discourse and applications, 1(2), 210-218.</i>		

Abstract

Each illness presents with specific signs and symptoms. The proposed approach effectively identifies relationships between groups of illnesses and the symptoms that patients experience, supporting medical professionals in reaching a likely diagnosis. Medical diagnosis relies heavily on n-valued interval neutrosophic sets and their applications. This study examines aspects of cotangent similarity among n-valued interval neutrosophic sets and proposes a method utilizing these concepts. This approach serves as a valuable tool for addressing uncertainties and limitations in existing diagnostic methods. The application of this method in medical diagnosis is evaluated to accurately identify the illness affecting the patient. The diagnostic results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed strategy.

Keywords: n-valued interval neutrosophic sets, Cotangent similarity measure, Medical diagnosis uncertainty modeling, Fuzzy decision-making in healthcare, Neutrosophic logic in symptom analysis.

1|Introduction

Kumbakonam is a thickly populated town. Although an underground drainage system is available here, it is yet to cover all the houses in the town. So, an open drainage system continues to be implemented in different parts of the town. Further, this town is racing fast toward total sanitation in all spheres. As a result, Kumbakonam continues to be a repository of all new kinds of diseases. This created an urge to carry out research in the medical field. By introducing innovative methods in the study, diseases can be diagnosed instantly and infallibly.

A number of real-life problems in engineering, medical sciences, social sciences, economics, etc., involve imprecise data, and their solution consists of using mathematical principles based on uncertainty and imprecision. Such uncertainties are dealt with with topics like probability theory, fuzzy set theory1, rough set

Corresponding Author: narmadhagnanam03@gmail.com



theory, etc. The healthcare industry has been trying to complement the services offered by conventional clinical decision-making systems with the integration of fuzzy logic techniques. As it is not an easy task for a clinician to derive a foolproof diagnosis, it is advantageous to automate a few initial steps of diagnosis that would not require expert intervention. A Neutrosophic Set (NS), which is a generalized set, possesses all attributes necessary to encode a medical knowledge base and capture medical inputs.

As medical diagnosis contains a lot of uncertainties and an increased volume of information available to physicians

With new medical technologies, classifying different sets of symptoms under a single disease name becomes difficult. In some practical situations, each element may have different truth membership and indeterminate and false membership functions. The unique feature of the n-valued interval NS is that it contains multi-truth membership, indeterminate, and false membership. By taking a one-time inspection, there may be an error in diagnosis. Hence, a multi-time inspection gives the best diagnosis by taking the same patient's samples at different times. So, n-valued interval NSs and their applications play a vital role in medical diagnosis.

In 1965, the fuzzy set theory was first introduced by Zadeh [1], and it is applied in many real applications to handle uncertainty. Sometimes, the membership function is uncertain and hard to define with a crisp value. So, the concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets was proposed to capture the uncertainty of the membership grade. In 1986, Atanassov [2] introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, which consider both truth-membership and falsity-membership. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [3] proposed and applied the tangent inverse distance and sine similarity measure of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis. Kozae et al. [4] applied intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their operators in medical diagnosis.

Shinoj and John [6] extended the concept of fuzzy multisets by introducing intuitionistic fuzzy multisets. Rajarajeswari and Uma [7], [8] proposed several methods among intuitionistic fuzzy multisets. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [9] proposed sine inverse distance of intuitionistic fuzzy multisets and applied them in medical diagnosis. Later on, intuitionistic fuzzy sets were extended to the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets can only handle incomplete information, not indeterminate information, and inconsistent information, which exists commonly in belief systems. So, the NS (a generalization of fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and so on) defined by Smarandache [10] has the capability to deal with uncertain, imprecise, incomplete, and inconsistent information that exists in the real world from a philosophical point of view. In 1982, Pawlak [11] introduced the concept of a rough set as a formal tool for modeling and processing incomplete information in information systems.

Two basic elements in rough set theory, crisp set and equivalence relation, constitute the mathematical basis of rough sets. The basic idea of a rough set is based upon the approximation of sets by a pair of sets known as the lower approximation and the upper approximation of a set. Here, the lower and upper approximation operators are based on equivalence relations. Nanda and Majumdar [12] examined fuzzy rough sets. Broumi et al. [13] introduced rough NSs. Pramanik and Mondal [14], [15] introduced cosine and cotangent similarity measures of rough NSs. Pramanik et al. [16] introduced the correlation coefficient of rough NSs. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [17–20] proposed a few methods among rough NSs and applied them to medical diagnosis. Bera and Mahapatra [21] applied generalized single-valued neutrosophic numbers in neutrosophic linear programming. Ulucay et al. [22] proposed a new approach for multi-attribute decision-making problems in bipolar NSs. Wang et al. [23] proposed the single-valued NS. Majumdar and Samanta [24] proposed the similarity and entropy of NSs. Ye [25] proposed the cotangent similarity measure of single-valued NSs.

Broumi et al. [26] proposed single-valued (2N+1) sided polygonal neutrosophic numbers and single-valued (2N) sided polygonal neutrosophic numbers. Li et al. [27] slope stability assessment method using the arctangent and tangent similarity measure of neutrosophic numbers. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [28], [29] introduced cosine logarithmic distance and tangent inverse similarity measure among single valued

NSs and applied it in medical diagnosis. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [30] introduced sine exponential measure among single valued NSs and applied it in medical diagnosis. Chai et al. [31] proposed new similarity measures of single-valued NSs. Ye and Ye [32] introduced the concept of single-valued neutrosophic multisets. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [33] introduced cosine exponential distance among single-valued neutrosophic multisets and applied it to medical diagnosis. In 2013, Smarandache [34] extended the classical neutrosophic logic to n-valued refined neutrosophic logic by refining each neutrosophic component T, I, F into respectively, T1,T2,...,Tm,I1,I2,...,Ip and F1,F2,...,Fr. Neutrosophic refined sets are a generalization of fuzzy multisets and intuitionistic fuzzy multisets.

In 2014, Broumi and Smarandache [35] extended the improved cosine similarity of a single-valued NS proposed by Ye [36] to the case of neutrosophic refined sets. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [37–39] introduced a few methods in neutrosophic refined sets and applied them to medical diagnosis. Broumi et al. [40] generalize the concept of n-valued NSs to the case of n-valued interval NSs. Edward Samuel and Narmadhagnanam [41–44] introduced many methods in n-valued interval NSs and applied them to medical diagnosis. The proposed method had more accuracy than the others, and they could handle the limitations and drawbacks of the previous works well. This study discovers the relationship between the symptoms found within patients and a set of diseases. This study will help the researcher discover the diseases that impact the patients. The method employed is free from the limitations commonly found in other studies. Without such limitations, a new theory on image processing, cluster analysis, etc., has been developed in this study.

The rest of the article is structured as follows. In Section 2, we briefly present the basic definitions. Section 3 deals with the proposed definition and some of its properties. Sections 4, 5, and 6 deal with methodology, algorithm, and the case study related to medical diagnosis. A significance statement is given in Section 7. The conclusion is given in Section 8.

2|Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([45]). Let X be a universe of discourse, with a generic element in X denoted by x; the NS A is an object having the form

$$A = \left\{ \left\langle x : T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \right\rangle, x \in X \right\},\$$

where the functions define $T,I,F:X \rightarrow]^{-}0,1^{+}[$ respectively the degree of membership (or truth), the degree of indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership(or falsehood) of the element $x \in X$ to the set A with the condition

 $^{-}0 \le T_{A}(x) + I_{A}(x) + F_{A}(x) \le 3^{+}.$

Definition 2 ([40]). Let X be a universe, a n-valued interval NS on X can be defined as follows:

$$A = \begin{cases} x, \left(\left[\inf T_{A}^{1}(x), \sup T_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf T_{A}^{2}(x), \sup T_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf T_{A}^{p}(x), \sup T_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf I_{A}^{1}(x), \sup I_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf I_{A}^{2}(x), \sup I_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf F_{A}^{1}(x), \sup F_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf F_{A}^{2}(x), \sup F_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf F_{A}^{p}(x), \sup F_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right): x \in X, \end{cases}$$

where $\inf T_{A}^{1}(x), \inf T_{A}^{2}(x), \dots, \inf T_{A}^{p}(x), \inf I_{A}^{1}(x), \inf I_{A}^{2}(x), \dots, \inf I_{A}^{p}(x), \inf I_{A}^{p}(x), \inf F_{A}^{p}(x), \inf F_{A}^{p}(x), \inf F_{A}^{p}(x), \sup T_{A}^{1}(x), \sup T_{A}^{2}(x), \dots, \sup T_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{1}(x), \sup I_{A}^{2}(x), \dots, \sup I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x) \in [0,1]$ such that $0 \le \sup T_{A}^{1}(x) + \sup I_{A}^{1}(x) + \sup F_{A}^{1}(x) \le 3$, for all $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$.

Definition 3 ([40]). A n-valued interval NS A is contained in the other n-valued interval NS B, denoted by $A \subseteq B$, if and only if

$$\begin{split} &\inf T_{A}^{l}(x) \leq \inf T_{B}^{l}(x), \ \inf T_{A}^{2}(x) \leq \inf T_{B}^{2}(x), \ \dots, \inf T_{A}^{p}(x) \leq \inf T_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\sup T_{A}^{l}(x) \leq \sup T_{B}^{l}(x), \ \sup T_{A}^{2}(x) \leq \sup T_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \sup T_{A}^{p}(x) \leq \sup T_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\inf I_{A}^{l}(x) \geq \inf I_{B}^{l}(x), \ \inf I_{A}^{2}(x) \geq \inf I_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \inf I_{A}^{p}(x) \geq \inf I_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\sup I_{A}^{l}(x) \geq \sup I_{B}^{l}(x), \ \sup I_{A}^{2}(x) \geq \sup I_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \sup I_{A}^{p}(x) \geq \sup I_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\inf F_{A}^{l}(x) \geq \inf F_{B}^{l}(x), \ \inf F_{A}^{2}(x) \geq \inf F_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \inf F_{A}^{p}(x) \geq \inf F_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\sup F_{A}^{l}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{l}(x), \ \sup F_{A}^{2}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \sup F_{A}^{p}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{p}(x), \\ &\inf F_{A}^{l}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{l}(x), \ \sup F_{A}^{2}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{2}(x), \dots, \ \sup F_{A}^{p}(x) \geq \sup F_{B}^{p}(x), \\ & for all \ x \in X. \end{split}$$

3 | Proposed Definition

Definition 4. Let

$$A = \begin{cases} x, \left(\left[\inf T_{A}^{1}(x), \sup T_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf T_{A}^{2}(x), \sup T_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf T_{A}^{p}(x), \sup T_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf I_{A}^{1}(x), \sup I_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf I_{A}^{2}(x), \sup I_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf I_{A}^{p}(x), \sup I_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf F_{A}^{1}(x), \sup F_{A}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf F_{A}^{2}(x), \sup F_{A}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf F_{A}^{p}(x), \sup F_{A}^{p}(x)\right]\right): x \in X, \end{cases}$$

and

$$B = \begin{cases} x, \left(\left[\inf T_{B}^{1}(x), \sup T_{B}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf T_{B}^{2}(x), \sup T_{B}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf T_{B}^{p}(x), \sup T_{B}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf I_{B}^{1}(x), \sup I_{B}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf I_{B}^{2}(x), \sup I_{B}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf I_{B}^{p}(x), \sup I_{B}^{p}(x)\right]\right), \\ \left(\left[\inf F_{B}^{1}(x), \sup F_{B}^{1}(x)\right], \left[\inf F_{B}^{2}(x), \sup F_{B}^{2}(x)\right], \dots, \left[\inf F_{B}^{p}(x), \sup F_{B}^{p}(x)\right]\right): x \in X, \end{cases}$$

be two n-valued interval NSs, then the cotangent similarity measure

$$\mathbf{COT}_{\text{NVINS}}(A,B) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2n} \cot \left[\frac{\pi}{24} \begin{bmatrix} 6 + \left| \inf \mathbf{T}_{A}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - \inf \mathbf{T}_{B}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right| + \left| \sup \mathbf{T}_{A}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - \sup \mathbf{T}_{B}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right| + \right] \right] \\ \left| \inf \mathbf{I}_{A}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - \inf \mathbf{I}_{B}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right| + \left| \sup \mathbf{I}_{A}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - \sup \mathbf{I}_{B}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right| + \right] \right]$$
(2)

Proposition 1

- I. $COT_{NVINS}(A, B) \in [0, 1].$
- II. $COT_{NVINS}(A, B) = COT_{NVINS}(B, A)$.
- III. If $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ then $COT_{NVINS}(A, C) \leq COT_{NVINS}(A, B) & COT_{NVINS}(A, C) \leq COT_{NVINS}(B, C)$.

Proof:

- I. The proof is straightforward.
- II. The proof is straightforward.

III. By (1).

$$\begin{split} &\inf T_A^j(x_i) \leq \inf T_B^j(x_i) \leq \inf T_C^j(x_i), \\ &\sup T_A^j(x_i) \leq \sup T_B^j(x_i) \leq \sup T_C^j(x_i), \\ &\inf I_A^j(x_i) \geq \inf I_B^j(x_i) \geq \inf I_C^j(x_i), \\ &\sup I_A^j(x_i) \geq \sup I_B^j(x_i) \geq \sup I_C^j(x_i), \end{split}$$

 $\inf F_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) \geq \inf F_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) \geq \inf F_{C}^{j}(x_{i}),$ $\sup F_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) \geq \sup F_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) \geq \sup F_{C}^{j}(x_{i}).$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \left|\inf T_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf T_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\inf T_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf T_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|, \\ & \left|\sup T_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup T_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\sup T_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup T_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|, \\ & \left|\inf I_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf I_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\inf I_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf I_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|, \\ & \left|\sup I_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup I_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\sup I_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup I_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|, \\ & \left|\inf F_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf F_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\inf F_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \inf F_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|, \\ & \left|\sup F_{A}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup F_{B}^{j}(x_{i})\right| = \left|\sup F_{B}^{j}(x_{i}) - \sup F_{A}^{j}(x_{i})\right|. \end{split}$$

Here, the cotangent similarity measure is a decreasing function.

 $:: \operatorname{COT}_{\operatorname{NVINS}}(A, C) \leq \operatorname{COT}_{\operatorname{NVINS}}(A, B) & \operatorname{COT}_{\operatorname{NVINS}}(A, C) \leq \operatorname{COT}_{\operatorname{NVINS}}(B, C).$

4 | Methodology

In this section, an application of an n-valued interval NS was presented in medical diagnosis. In a given pathology, suppose S is a set of symptoms, D is a set of diseases, and P is a set of patients and let Q be an n-valued interval neutrosophic relation from the set of patients to the symptoms. i.e., $Q(P \rightarrow S)$ and R be an interval neutrosophic relation from the set of symptoms to the diseases, i.e., $R(S \rightarrow D)$ and then the methodology involves three main jobs:

- I. Determination of symptoms.
- II. Formulation of medical knowledge based on n-valued interval NSs & interval NSs.
- III. Determination of diagnosis based on new computation technique of n-valued interval NSs.

5 | Algorithm

Step 1. The patients' symptoms are given to obtain the patient symptom relation Q and are noted in Table 1.

Step 2. The medical knowledge relating the symptoms with the set of diseases under consideration is given to obtain the symptom-disease relation R, and is noted in *Table 2*.

Step 3. The computation T (relation between patients and diseases) is found using (2) between *Table 1* and *Table 2* is noted in *Table 3*.

Step 4. Finally, the maximum value from *Table 3* of each row was selected to find the possibility of the patient affected with the respective disease, and then it was concluded that the patient $P_k(k = 1,2\&3)$ was suffering from the disease $D_r(r = 1,2,3\&4)$.

6 | Case Study

In this section, an example adapted from Broumi et al. [40] (an application of n-valued interval NSs in medical diagnosis) is used.

Let there be three patients $P = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ and the set of symptoms $S = \{S_1 = \text{temperature}, S_2 = \text{cough}, S_3 = \text{throat pain}, S_4 = \text{headache}, S_5 = \text{body pain}\}$. The n-valued interval neutrosophic relation $Q(P \rightarrow S)$ is given as in *Table 1*. Let the set of diseases $D = \{D_1 = \text{Viral fever}, D_2 = \text{tuberculosis}, D_3 = \text{typhoid}, D_4 = \text{throat disease}\}$. The interval neutrosophic relation $R(S \rightarrow D)$ is given as in *Table 2*.

	Temperature Cough Throat Pain Headache Body Pain					
Q	Temperature	Cough	Throat Falli	Headache	Body Pain	
P_1	[0.3,0.4],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.7]	[0.1,0.2],[0.3,0.6],[0.6,0.8]	[0.0,0.5],[0.2,0.6],[0.0,0.4]	[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.5],[0.0,0.7]	[0.0,0.4],[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.5]	
1 1	[0.0, 0.3], [0.1, 0.3], [0.0, 0.5]	[0.0, 0.5], [0.4, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5]	[0.3, 0.4], [0.2, 0.3], [0.3, 0.4]	[0.4, 0.5], [0.4, 0.7], [0.3, 0.6]	[0.2, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.1, 0.2]	
	[0.0,0.6],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.4]	[0.2,0.3],[0.0,0.5],[0.4,0.6]	[0.0,0.7],[0.3,0.7],[0.3,0.5]	[0.2,0.6],[0.0,0.6],[0.3,0.4]	[0.1,0.3],[0.1,0.3],[0.2,0.3]	
P_2	[0.2,0.3],[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.2]	[0.5,0.7],[0.0,0.4],[0.7,0.8]	[0.5,0.6],[0.0,0.6],[0.2,0.3]	[0.2,0.5],[0.5,0.6],[0.1,0.5]	[0.2,0.4],[0.4,0.6],[0.1,0.4]	
_	$\begin{matrix} [0.4, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.0, 0.3] \\ [0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.4, 0.5] \end{matrix}$	[0.6,0.7],[0.0,0.5],[0.4,0.5] [0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.7],[0.0,0.3]	[0.4,0.7],[0.4,0.6],[0.3,0.4] [0.1,0.3],[0.2,0.3],[0.5,0.7]	[0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.5], [0.5, 0.6] [0.1, 0.3], [0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5]	[0.0,0.5],[0.2,0.4],[0.5,0.6] [0.5,0.7],[0.0,0.7],[0.2,0.4]	
_	[0.1,0.3],[0.0,0.5],[0.4,0.6]	[0.2,0.3],[0.0,0.7],[0.1,0.4]	[0.2,0.4],[0.3,0.6],[0.0,0.6]	[0.2,0.3],[0.5,0.6],[0.4,0.5]	[0.0,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.3]	
P_3	[0.1, 0.2], [0.3, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5]	[0.5, 0.6], [0.0, 0.3], [0.3, 0.5]	[0.4, 0.5], [0.0, 0.3], [0.3, 0.4]	[0.2, 0.4], [0.0, 0.4], [0.2, 0.7]	[0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2]	
	[0.2,0.4],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.7]	[0.3,0.5],[0.2,0.5],[0.4,0.6]	[0.5,0.7],[0.4,0.6],[0.3,0.7]	[0.4,0.5],[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.5]	[0.0,0.6],[0.2,0.4],[0.4,0.6]	

Table 1. Patient-symptom relation (using step 1).

Table 2. Symptom-disease relation (using step 2).

R	Viral Fever	Tuberculosis	Typhoid	Throat Disease
Temperature	[0.2,0.4],[0.3,0.5],[0.3,0.7]	[0.1,0.4],[0.2,0.6],[0.6,0.7]	[0.0,0.3],[0.4,0.6],[0.0,0.2]	[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.5],[0.0,0.6]
Cough	[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.3],[0.0,0.5]	[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.5],[0.7,0.8]	[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.3],[0.1,0.2]	[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.3],[0.0,0.5]
Throatpain	[0.0,0.4],[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.4]	[0.0,0.2],[0.3,0.6],[0.6,0.7]	[0.1,0.2],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.4]	[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.7]
Headache	[0.4,0.7],[0.0,0.3],[0.3,0.5]	[0.1,0.2],[0.0,0.5],[0.0,0.6]	[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.3],[0.2,0.5]	[0.0,0.3],[0.3,0.6],[0.2,0.5]
Bodypain	[0.1,0.4],[0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.4]	[0.5,0.7],[0.4,0.5],[0.2,0.5]	[0.2,0.3],[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.3]	[0.0,0.4],[0.1,0.2],[0.1,0.3]

Table 3. Cotangent similarity measure (using step 3 and step 4).

Т	Viral Fever	Tuberculosis	Typhoid	Throat Disease
P_1	0.3952	0.3696	0.3804	0.3839
P ₂	0.3697	0.3595	0.3754	0.3863
P ₃	0.4000	0.3693	0.3892	0.3976

7 | Significance Statement

This study discovers the relationship between the symptoms found within patients and a set of diseases. This study will help the researcher to find out the diseases accurately that impacted the patients. The method employed is free from the limitations commonly found in other studies. Without such limitations, a new theory on image processing, cluster analysis, etc., has been developed in this study.

8 | Conclusion

This study analyzed the relationship between the set of symptoms found within the patients and the set of diseases. Then, one method(cotangent similarity measure) was employed to find out the diseases that possibly affected the patient. The techniques considered in this study are reliable and trustworthy for handling medical diagnosis problems quite comfortably. It could avoid the limitations and drawbacks of previous works as the method is more accurate in handling the diagnosis.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely acknowledge the suggestions of the anonymous reviewers, which improve the quality.

References

- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3), 338–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X
- [2] Li, Q., Rong, Y., Pei, Z., & Ren, F. (2023). A novel linguistic decision making approach based on attribute correlation and EDAS method. *Soft computing*, 27(12), 7751–7771. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08079-y
- [3] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of mathematical archive*, 9(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.22457/ijfma.v16n1a3
- [4] Mohamed Kozae, A., Shokry, M., & Omran, M. (2020). Intuitionistic fuzzy set and its application in corona Covid-19. Applied and computational mathematics, 9(5), 146. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.acm.20200905.11
- [5] Rajkalpana, M., KavyaSri, R., Abarna, S., & Nishantini, M. (2020). An analysis of medical diagnosis using intuitionistic fuzzy set and its operators. *International journal of advanced science and technology*, 29(2), 2496– 2500. http://sersc.org/journals/index.php/IJAST/article/view/3798
- Shinoj, T. K., & John, S. J. (2012). Intuitionistic fuzzy multisets and its application in medical diagnosis. World academy of science, engineering and technology, 6(1), 1418–1421. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=be7b506060dda86ccab28deb5c9d4e01 ff0996f3
- [7] Rajarajeswari, P., & Uma, N. (2013). Hausdroff similarity measures for intuitionistic fuzzy multi sets and its application in medical diagnosis. *International journal of mathematical archive-4* (9), 4(9), 106–111.
- [8] Rajarajeswari, P., & Uma, N. (2014). Normalized hamming similarity measure for intuitionistic fuzzy multi sets and its application in medical diagnosis. *International journal of mathematical trends and technology*, 5(3), 219–225. https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/ijmtt-v5p525
- [9] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Intuitionistic fuzzy multisets in medical diagnosis. International journal of fuzzy mathematical archive, 16(01), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.22457/ijfma.v16n1a3
- Smarandache, F. (1999). A unifying field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. In *Philosophy* (pp. 1–141).
 American Research Press.
 https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=70f349e9c4e4dd0a2097d911d9dec369
 15eb7b13
- Pawlak, Z. (1982). Rough sets. International journal of computer & information sciences, 11, 341–356. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01001956
- [12] Nanda, S., & Majumdar, S. (1992). Fuzzy rough sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 45(2), 157–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(92)90114-J
- [13] Broumi, S., Smarandache, F., & Dhar, M. (2014). Rough Neutrosophic sets. Italian journal of pure and applied mathematics, 32, 493–502. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.30310
- [14] Pramanik, S., & Mondal, K. (2015). Cosine similarity measure of rough Neutrosophic sets and its application in medical diagnosis. *Global journal of advanced research*, 2(1), 212–220. https://fs.unm.edu/CosineSimilarityMeasureOfRough.pdf
- [15] Pramanik, S., & Mondal, K. (2015). Cotangent similarity measure of rough Neutrosophic sets and its application to medical diagnosis. *Journal of new theory*, 4, 90–102. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jnt/issue/34490/381119
- [16] Pramanik, S., Roy, R., Roy, T. K., & Smarandache, F. (2017). Multi criteria decision making using correlation coefficient under rough Neutrosophic environment. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 17, 29–36. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1012237
- [17] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Utilization of Rough Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of engineering science invention*, 7(3), 1–5. https://fs.unm.edu/neut/UtilizationOfRoughNeutrosophicMedical.pdf
- [18] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Neoteric techniques for Rough Neutrosophic set and their utilization in Medical Diagnosis. *International journal for research in engineering application & management*, 4(7), 253–258.

- [19] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Rough Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. International journal of pure and applied mathematics, 120(8), 79–87. https://www.acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-120-8/1/9.pdf
- [20] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2019). Pi-distance of rough Neutrosophic sets for medical diagnosis. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 28(1), 51–57.
 https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol28/iss1/6
- [21] Bera, T., & Mahapatra, N. K. (2019). Generalised single valued Neutrosophic number and its application to Neutrosophic linear programming. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 25, 85–103. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1258&context=nss_journal
- [22] Ulucay, V., Kilic, A., Yildiz, I., & Sahin, M. (2018). A new approach for multi-attribute decision-making problems in bipolar Neutrosophic sets. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 23(1), 142–159. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol23/iss1/12
- [23] Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang, Y., & Sunderraman, R. (2010). Single valued Neutrosophic sets. *Multispace & multistructure*, 4(10), 410–413. https://fs.unm.edu/SingleValuedNeutrosophicSets.pdf
- [24] Majumdar, P., & Samanta, S. K. (2014). On similarity and entropy of Neutrosophic sets. *Journal of intelligent & fuzzy systems*, 26(3), 1245–1252. https://doi.org/10.3233/IFS-130810
- [25] Ye, J. (2017). Single-valued Neutrosophic similarity measures based on cotangent function and their application in the fault diagnosis of steam turbine. *Soft computing*, 21(3), 817–825. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-015-1818-y
- [26] Broumi, S., Murugappan, M., Talea, M., Smarandache, F., Bakali, A., Singh, P. K., & Dey, A. (2019). Single valued (2N+1) sided polygonal Neutrosophic numbers and single valued (2N) sided polygonal Neutrosophic numbers. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 25, 54–65. https://fs.unm.edu/nss8/index.php/111/article/view/153
- [27] Li, C., Ye, J., Cui, W., & Du, S. (2019). Slope stability assessment method using the arctangent and tangent similarity measure of Neutrosophic numbers. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 27(1), 98–103. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol27/iss1/9
- [28] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Cosine logarithmic distance of singlevalued Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of engineering, science and mathematics*, 7(6), 14–19. https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ijesm&volume=7&issue=6&article=003
- [29] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Tangent inverse similarity measure of singled valued Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of creative research thoughts*, 6(2), 77–79. https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1892673.pdf
- [30] Narmadhagnanam, R., & Samuel, A. E. (2022). Sine exponential measure of single valued Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 51, 303–310. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7135305
- [31] Chai, J. S., Selvachandran, G., Smarandache, F., Gerogiannis, V. C., Son, L. H., Bui, Q. T., & Vo, B. (2021). New similarity measures for single-valued Neutrosophic sets with applications in pattern recognition and medical diagnosis problems. *Complex and intelligent systems*, 7(2), 703–723. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-020-00220-w
- [32] Ye, S., & Ye, J. (2014). Dice similarity measure between single valued Neutrosophic multisets and its application in medical diagnosis. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 6(1), 48–53. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1073&context=nss_journal
- [33] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Cosine Exponential Distance of Single valued Neutrosophic multi sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of engineering research and application*, 8(6), 26–29. https://fs.unm.edu/neut/CosineExponentialDistance.pdf
- [34] Smarandache, F. (2013). n-Valued refined Neutrosophic logic and its applications to physics. Progress in physics, 4, 143–146. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.49149
- [35] Broumi, S., & Smarandache, F. (2014). Neutrosophic refined similarity measure based on cosine function. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 6, 4–10. https://philarchive.org/rec/BRONRS-2

- [36] Ye, J. (2014). Vector similarity measures of simplified Neutrosophic sets and their application in multicriteria decision making. *International journal of fuzzy systems*, 16(2), 204–211. https://fs.unm.edu/neut/VectorSimilarityMeasuresOfSimplified.pdf
- [37] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2017). Neutrosophic refined sets in medical diagnosis. International journal of fuzzy mathematical archive, 14(01), 117–123. https://doi.org/10.22457/ijfma.v14n1a14
- [38] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Sine logarithmic distance of Neutrosophic refined sets in medical diagnoses. *Journal of global research in mathematical archive*, 5(6), 14–19. https://www.jgrma.com/index.php/jgrma/article/view/482
- [39] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2019). Contemporary techniques for Neutrosophic refined set and their exploitation in medical diagnosis. *Journal of emerging technologies and innovative research*, 6(1), 258–262. https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1901027.pdf
- [40] Broumi, S., Deli, I., & Smarandache, F. (2015). N-valued interval Neutrosophic sets and their application in medical diagnosis. *Critical review*, 10, 45–69. https://doi.org/10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.1502596
- [41] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2017). Innovative approaches for N-valued interval Neutrosophic sets and their execution in medical diagnosis. *Journal of applied sciences*, 17(9), 429–440. https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2017.429.440
- [42] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). N-valued interval Neutrosophic set in medical diagnosis. International journal of pure and applied mathematics, 120(8), 59–67. https://acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-120-8/2/7.pdf
- [43] Samuel, A. E., & Narmadhagnanam, R. (2018). Execution of n-valued interval Neutrosophic sets in medical diagnosis. *International journal of mathematics trends and technology*, 58(1), 66–70. https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/ijmtt-v58p509
- [44] Narmadhagnanam, R., & Samuel, A. E. (2024). Application of secant span in medical diagnosis. *Neutrosophic systems with applications*, 18, 40–45. https://doi.org/10.61356/j.nswa.2024.18254
- [45] Broumi, S., & Smarandache, F. (2015). Extended hausdorff distance and similarity measures for Neutrosophic refined sets and their application in medical diagnosis. *Journal of new theory*, 7, 64–78. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jnt/issue/34499/381190